1	2	3	4	5	6
11.	M/s. Carews	Nov. 86 to Dec. 88	Combiflam	710.24	
12.	M/s. IDPL	1985-86 to 1987-88	Imported Bulk Drug	336.45	
13.	M/s. Infar	1985 to 1991	Dexatopic Cream	271.46	
14.	M/s. Pfizer	April 86 to July 89	Protinex	81.83	

*Deposited with the Bombay High Court.

Dumping of DAP in the Country

418. SHRI B. K. HARIPRASAD : Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state :

(a) whether it is a fact that in the wake of decontrol of pricing, distribution and import of phosphatic fertilizers, there has been voluminous dumping of diammonieum phosphate in the country by overseas producers;

(b) what is the cost difference between the indigenously produced DAP and the imported one;

(c) whether this dumping by overseas DAP producers has created a glut of unsold DAP stocks with the indigenous producers and whether the indigenous producers could survive any longer with unabated dumping; and

(d) whether Government would activate its anti-dumping mechanism by monitoring the situation closely to ensure survival of the indigenous DAP facilities ?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF CHEMICALS AND FERTILIZERS (SHRI EDUARDO FALEIRO) : (a) There have been allegations by the Indian phosphatic industry of dumping of DAP by foreign suppliers. The Fertilizers Association of India have submitted a petition dated 22nd April, 1993 in this regard to the Government.

(b) The difference between the cost of indigenously produced and the imported DAP at present works out to, on an average, about Rs. 2500/- per tonne.

(c) Following decontrol, there has been significant declined in demand for DAP on account of increase in its price and there are substantial quantities of unsold stocks lying with handling agents and indigenous producers of DAP. The indigenous producers are handicapped in selling their DAP because their cost of production is higher than the price of imported DAP.

(d) Government have necessary arrangements to investigate and redress cases of injurious dumping. The designated authority in the Government, however, has to satisfy itself of prima-facie evidence of dumping, injury and a causal link between the dumped imports and the alleged injury before deciding to initiate anti-dumping investigation.

Exemption to small scale drugs sectors

419. SHRIMATI KAILASHPATI : Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to refer to the answer to Unstarred Question 1225 given in Rajya Sabha on 4-3-93 and state :

(a) whether it is a fact that under Companies Act, 1956 units having turnover of Rs. 10 crores or more are not treated as small scale sector units;

(b) what are the names of drug producing units having turn over of more than Rupees Ten crores and are treated as small scale;

(c) whether it is a fact that in 1990 it was decided to withdraw the blanket exemption to small scale sector in view of its misuse; and

(d) if so, what is the latest position in this regard ?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF CHEMICALS AND FERTILIZERS (SHRI EDUARDO FALEIRO) : (a) No, Sir.

(b) To the extent available, information is given in the Statement. (See below)

(c) No such decision was taken to withdraw exemption available to small scale units under DPCO, 1987.

(d) Does not arise.

Statement

Sl. No.	Name of the Drug producing units having turn-over more than 10 crores.
(1)	(2)
1.	M/s. Torrent Pharmaceuticals.
2.	M/s. Fulford (India) Limited.
3.	M/s. Aristo Pharma.
4.	M/s. Alkem Labs.
5.	M/s. Franco Indian
6.	M/s. Ethnor.
7.	M/s. Sun Pharma.
8.	M/s. Gufic Labs.
9.	M/s. Citadel Pharma.
10.	M/s. Elder Pharma.
11.	M/s. Biddle Sawyer.
12.	M/s. SOL Pharma.
13.	M/s. Win Medicare.
14.	M/s. Blue Cross.
15.	M/s. Glenmark Pharma.
16.	M/s. Biochem.
17.	M/s. Stangen.
18.	M/s. Griffon Laboratories.
19.	M/s. Wallace Pharma.
20.	M/s. American Remedies.
21.	M/s. Micro Labs.
22.	M/s. Indoco.
23.	M/s. Dolphin Labs.
24.	M/s. PCI.
25.	M/s. Plethico Pharma.
26.	M/s. Concept Pharma.
27.	M/s. Protec.
28.	M/s. Jagson Pal.
29.	M/s. Wander.
30.	M/s. Kopran Chemicals.

Products of National Repute in Canteen Stores Deptt.

470. SHRI V. HANUMANTHA RAO : Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to refer to the answer to Starred Question 339 given in the Rajya Sabha on the 18th March, 1993 and state :

(a) whether it is a fact that an item is introduced by Canteen Stores Department only after market potential has been assessed by the relevant high powered Committee;

(b) if so, the reasons for not placing orders on newly introduced items for the last nine months;

(c) whether any products of small scale units have been given the title of products of "National Repute"; and

(d) if not, the reasons thereof ?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF DEFENCE (SHRI MALLIKARJUN) : (a) Yes Sir.

(b) After the placement of initial orders, all subsequent orders continue to be placed based on the actual sales performance of that item.